

Blacknose Shark Management



Presented to the
Atlantic HMS Advisory Panel
March 30, 2016

Outline

- Background
- Potential Management Options
 - > Status Quo
 - Bycatch Limits
 - Commercial Retention Limits
- Discussion





Background

- 2007 Stock Assessments (SEDAR 13)
 - One stock across both the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico regions
 - Determined the stock to be overfished and overfishing was occurring
- Amendment 3 Final Rule (June 1, 2010)
 - Established separate blacknose shark and non-blacknose SCS quotas, and linked the quotas
 - Continued to allow landings of sharks with gillnet gear south of North Carolina and in the Gulf of Mexico based on comments that fishermen were able to avoid blacknose sharks
 - Encouraged all shark fishermen to avoid blacknose sharks
 - > Stated that if fishermen continue to target blacknose sharks, NMFS would implement more management measures to ensure the rebuilding of the stock



Background (cont'd)

- 2011 Stock Assessments (SEDAR 21)
 - Concluded that blacknose sharks are two separate stocks (one in the Atlantic and one in the Gulf of Mexico)
 - Atlantic stock: overfished and overfishing was occurring
 - Gulf of Mexico stock: the assessment was not accepted and therefore, the stock status is unknown
- Amendment 5a Final Rule (July 3, 2013)
 - ➤ Divided the blacknose and non-blacknose SCS quotas into Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico regional quotas and linked the regional blacknose and non-blacknose SCS quotas



Background (cont'd)

Recent Years

- ➤ Landings data suggest that several fishermen have targeted blacknose sharks, quickly filling or exceeding the blacknose quota
- ➤ Non-blacknose SCS fishery has closed early due to the quota linkage (e.g., September 2013, July 2014, and June 2015)
- ➤ The non-blacknose SCS quota has been underutilized, as all SCS must be discarded once the fisheries are closed
- ➤ Blacknose shark quota exceeded in 2012 and 2015



Vessels Landing Blacknose Sharks

| Year | Number of Vessels | Number of Trips | Blacknose landed per trip by % weight (lb dw) | | | |
|------|----------------------|--------------------|---|-------|-------|-----|
| | | | 0-25 | 25-50 | 50-75 | 75+ |
| 2010 | 29 | 200 | 158 | 30 | 4 | 8 |
| 2011 | 23 | 139 | 114 | 11 | 5 | 9 |
| 2012 | 27 | 187 | 133 | 29 | 14 | 11 |
| 2013 | 24 | 203 | 166 | 27 | 7 | 3 |
| 2014 | 21 | 145 | 61 | 46 | 24 | 14 |
| 2015 | 20 | 91 | 45 | 7 | 8 | 31 |



Background (cont'd)

- Amendment 6 (August 18, 2015)
 - Established a management boundary in the Atlantic region along 34° N. latitude for the SCS fishery
 - Maintained the non-blacknose SCS and blacknose shark quota linkage south of the 34° N. latitude
 - ➤ Removed the quota linkage between non-blacknose SCS and blacknose shark quotas north of the 34° N. latitude, and prohibited the retention and landings of blacknose sharks
- Post Amendment 6
 - NMFS has received comments from fishermen and a request from the SAFMC to address discards of non-blacknose SCS in the Spanish mackerel gillnet fishery



Rulemaking Goals

Minimize the overharvest and discards of blacknose sharks

Maximize the utilization of the non-blacknose SCS quota

> Extend the season for non-blacknose SCS fisheries



List of Potential Alternatives

➤ Alternative 1: No Action. Do not implement a commercial retention for blacknose sharks in the Atlantic region

- ➤ Alternative 2: Establish a bycatch retention limit of non-blacknose SCS once the blacknose shark quota is reached
 - ➤ Alternative 2a: 50 non-blacknose SCS
 - ➤ Alternative 2b: 150 non-blacknose SCS
 - ➤ Alternative 2c: 250 non-blacknose SCS





Alternative 2 in detail

Potential non-blacknose SCS commercial retention limits once the blacknose shark quota is reached and corresponding blacknose shark quota in the region.

| Alternatives | (A) Non-Blacknose SCS Retention Limit | (B) Blacknose Shark Discards per Retention | (C) Blacknose Shark Discards | (D) Blacknose Shark Dead Discards | (E) Blacknose Shark Quota Adjustment (D x 5lb dw = E) ⁴ | (F) Blacknose Shark Quota Under the Different Alternatives |
|--------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Limit $(A/3 = B)^1$ | $(B \times 118 = C)^2$ | $(C \times 0.50 = D)^3$ | , | $(12.8 \text{ mt dw} - \text{E} = \text{F})^5$ |
| 2a | 50 sharks | ~17 sharks | 1,971 sharks | 985 sharks | 2.2 mt dw (4,927 lb dw) | 10.6 mt dw (23,369 lb dw) |
| 2b | 150 sharks | ~50 sharks | 5,913 sharks | 2,956 sharks | 6.7 mt dw (14,781 lb dw) | 6.1 mt dw (13,515 lb dw) |
| 2c | 250 sharks | ~83 sharks | 9,854 sharks | 4,927 sharks | 11.2 mt dw (24,635 lb dw) | 1.6 mt dw (3,661 lb dw) |

¹ Column B: Catch composition of non-blacknose SCS to blacknose sharks is 3:1

⁵ Column F: Atlantic blacknose shark quota is 80% of the adjusted 2016 quota (12.8 mt dw; 28,296 lb dw).



² Column C: Average number of trips that landed sharks with gillnet gear was 118

³ Column D: Dead discard rate for blacknose sharks is 50%

⁴ Column E: Average weight of blacknose sharks with gillnet gear = 5 lb dw

List of Potential Alternatives (cont'd)

- ➤ Alternative 3: Establish a commercial retention limit of blacknose sharks per trip for all Atlantic HMS limited access permit holders in the Atlantic region
 - ➤ Alternative 3a: 50 blacknose sharks
 - ➤ Alternative 3b: 16 blacknose sharks
 - ➤ Alternative 3c: 8 blacknose sharks



Alternative 3 in detail

Retention limits and number of trips per year for Atlantic blacknose sharks under the different potential alternatives.

| Alternatives | Year | Retention Limit | (A) Blacknose Shark Landings per Trip (lb dw) | (B) 2016 Adjusted Blacknose Shark Quota (lb dw) | (C) Number of Trips per Year to Land Blacknose Shark Quota (B/A = C) |
|--------------|------|--------------------|--|---|--|
| 1 | 2010 | - | 140 | 28,296 | 202 |
| | 2011 | - | 189 | 28,296 | 149 |
| | 2012 | - | 161 | 28,296 | 175 |
| | 2013 | - | 135 | 28,296 | 209 |
| | 2014 | - | 243 | 28,296 | 116 |
| | 2015 | - | 402 | 28,296 | 70 |
| | Avg | - | 212 | 28,296 | 154 |
| 3a | | 50 | 250 | 28,296 | 113 |
| 3b | | 16 | 80 | 28,296 | 354 |
| 3c | | 8 | 40 | 28,296 | 707 |



Preliminary Steps for Blacknose Shark Rule

- 1) Consider input from the Advisory Panel
- 2) Summer/Fall Draft EA and proposed rule released
- Public comment period to coincide with an upcoming SAFMC meeting
- 4) Fall/Winter Final EA and rule released
- 5) Effective for 2017 commercial shark fishing season



Additional Questions or Comments?

Please share them with us!

Karyl Brewster-Geisz, Guý DuBeck, or Larry Redd Atlantic Highly Migratory Species Management Division 301-427-8503

